UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/586,504	02/26/2007	Claude Daloz	5284-74PUS	4240	
Thomas Langer Cohen, Pontani, Lieberman & Pavane LLP 551 Fifth Avenue, Suite 1210			EXAMINER		
			ELLIOTT IV, BENJAMIN H		
New York, NY 10176			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2474	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/07/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

The MAILING DATE of this communication appe Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, c Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Appr 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This a	IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTHOMED TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (a). In no event, however, may a reply be till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE date of this communication, even if timely filed (ril 2010). Action is non-final. The except for formal matters, proceedings of the communication is pro-	(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). d, may reduce any
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, c Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Apr 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This a	BENJAMIN ELLIOTT Pars on the cover sheet with the orange of the cover sheet with the cover sheet with the cover sheet of this communication to become ABANDONE date of this communication, even if timely filed or the cover sheet of the communication of the cover sheet with the cover sheet of the cover sheet of the cover sheet with	2474 Correspondence address (S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, N. mely filed If the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). d, may reduce any
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA- - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, c Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Apr 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This a	IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTHOTE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (a). In no event, however, may a reply be till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE date of this communication, even if timely filed (ril 2010). The except for formal matters, presents on the cover of the co	correspondence address (S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, N. mely filed If the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Id, may reduce any
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA¹ - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, c Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Apr 2a) This action is FINAL.	IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTHOMED TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (a). In no event, however, may a reply be till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE date of this communication, even if timely filed (ril 2010). Action is non-final. The except for formal matters, proceedings of the communication is pro-	(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). d, may reduce any
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA- - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, c Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on 23 Apr 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This a	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (a). In no event, however, may a reply be till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE date of this communication, even if timely filed articles are supplied to the communication of the commun	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). d, may reduce any Disecution as to the merits is
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>23 Apr</u> 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This a	action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pro	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This a	action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pro	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowand	•	
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex		
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or or		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept accept applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing sheet(s) including the correction. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	pted or b) objected to by the rawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priorit application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Applicat ty documents have been receiv (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/586,504 Page 2

Art Unit: 2474

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-6 have been examined. Claims 1-6 are currently pending.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary.

Art Unit: 2474

Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

6. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over United States Patent 7,254,832 B1 to Christie, IV (hereinafter "Christie"), in view of United States Patent 6,631,416 B2 to Bendinelli et al. (hereinafter "Bendinelli").

Regarding Claim 1, Christie discloses a system for communication between a first computer terminal of a private Internet Protocol (IP) network and a second computer terminal of a public IP network, said communications system comprising:

a network boundary equipment (Christie: Figure 2 and Col. 4, line 59 through Col. 5, line 15; communication system comprises a firewall on the private side opposite the public side);

a mediation system in the private IP network that is associated with the first computer terminal (Christie: Figures 1 and 2 and Col. 4, lines 23-58 and Col. 5, lines 16-40; mediation system of the private network comprises switches ("first computer terminal")), said mediation system being configured to make an IP interface available to the second terminal via a service port of the mediation system (Christie: Figure 2 and Col. 4, line 63 through Col. 5, line 6; mediation system in private network establishes an IP connection to a second

Art Unit: 2474

terminal (media gateway endpoints, 265, of the public side) via a media gateway controller. MGC 205 connects to MGC 255 on public side. Col. 1, lines 29-30; media gateway comprises at least one port to connect to media gateway controller.).

Christie discloses the MGC of the private side is configured to establish a pinhole, or a packet filter, in connection with the private side (Christie: Col. 5, lines 21-40). The MGC of Christie may act as a call control server, and, the MGC of the public side is configured to exchange messages with the MGC of the private side to establish call control through the firewall (Christie: Col. 5, lines 41-55). Christie does not expressly disclose a server on the public side operable to configure and control the mediation system of the private side to establish a connection through the firewall.

Bendinelli discloses a means for establishing a tunnel between two computers on a network (Bendinelli: Abstract). Bendinelli discloses a mediation system (Bendinelli: Figure 16A) and a network boundary equipment (Bendinelli: Col. 4, lines 23-28). Bendinelli also discloses said mediation system being configured to make an IP interface available to the second terminal via a service port of the mediation (Bendinelli: Col. 4, lines 29-40. First port and second port are established between a first terminal and a second terminal.). Bendinelli discloses a control server in the public IP network (Bendinelli: Figure 6), said control server being operable to configure and control said mediation system via a communications tunnel established through said network boundary equipment using the service port of the

Art Unit: 2474

mediation system (Bendinelli: Figure 16A and Col. 43, lines 4-18. The single tunnel comprises a control path between the server and the gateway of the private network.).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Christie to include the communications tunnel of Bendinelli. This benefits the system by quickly establishing virtual private networks over a communication system (Bendinelli: Col. 3, lines 50-62).

Regarding Claim 2, Christie in view of Bendinelli discloses the communications system according to claim 1, wherein said IP interface comprises a Transmission Control Protocol User Datagram Protocol IP (TCP/UDP/IP) interface (Bendinelli: Col. 23, lines 13-28).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Christie to include the communications tunnel of Bendinelli. This benefits the system by quickly establishing virtual private networks over a communication system (Bendinelli: Col. 3, lines 50-62).

Regarding Claim 3, Christie in view of Bendinelli discloses the system according to claim 2, wherein said communications channel comprises a TCP channel operable to transmit TCP or UDP packets arriving at an internal interface of the mediation system (Bendinelli: Col. 23, lines 13-28).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Christie to include the

Art Unit: 2474

communications tunnel of Bendinelli. This benefits the system by quickly establishing virtual private networks over a communication system (Bendinelli: Col. 3, lines 50-62).

7. Claims 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Christie and Bendinelli, and further in view of United States Patent Application Publication 2004/0028035 A1 to Read (hereinafter "Read").

Regarding Claim 4, Christie in view of Bendinelli discloses the system according to claim 3, but is silent on, when relaying a packet from a port opened beforehand by the control server which indicates the receiver port, IP address and port number of sending port, and the received packet.

Read discloses a communication system for handling Internet calls between a public network and a private network separated by a NAT (Read: Abstract). Read further discloses wherein the mediation system is operable to relay a packet received at a receiver port opened beforehand by the control server (Read: [0127] and Figure 2. A proxy interface agent receives control signals from an external server to open and/or close UDP sockets behind, or within the firewall. Following security protocols, the agent will then relay the packet.), indicating an identifier of the receiver port (Read: [0129]. The agent forms an association between the external terminal and its own end with regards to the IP address and port.), an IP address and number of a sending port and the received packet (Read: [0128]. The agent forms a TCP connection to the address and port of the external server to relay the packet.).

Art Unit: 2474

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply further control methods of an external server in the public network and to relay specific information regarding addresses and ports of both ends of the communication system as taught by Read to the teachings of Christie and Bendinelli. This benefits the method by further increasing the efficiency of the registration, configuration, and security of the channel connections (Read: [0127-0129]).

Regarding Claim 5, Christie in view of Bendinelli discloses the system according to claim 2, but is silent on, when relaying a packet from a port opened beforehand by the control server which indicates the receiver port, IP address and port number of sending port, and the received packet.

Read discloses a communication system for handling Internet calls between a public network and a private network separated by a NAT (Read: Abstract). Read further discloses wherein the mediation system is operable to relay a packet received at a receiver port opened beforehand by the control server (Read: [0127] and Figure 2. A proxy interface agent receives control signals from an external server to open and/or close UDP sockets behind, or within the firewall. Following security protocols, the agent will then relay the packet.), indicating an identifier of the receiver port (Read: [0129]. The agent forms an association between the external terminal and its own end with regards to the IP address and port.), an IP address and number of a sending port and the received packet (Read: [0128]. The agent forms a TCP connection to the address and port of the external server to relay the packet.).

Art Unit: 2474

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply further control methods of an external server in the public network and to relay specific information regarding addresses and ports of both ends of the communication system as taught by Read to the teachings of Christie and Bendinelli. This benefits the method by further increasing the efficiency of the registration, configuration, and security of the channel connections (Read: [0127-0129]).

Regarding Claim 6, Christie in view of Bendinelli discloses the system according to claim 1, but is silent on, when relaying a packet from a port opened beforehand by the control server which indicates the receiver port, IP address and port number of sending port, and the received packet.

Read discloses a communication system for handling Internet calls between a public network and a private network separated by a NAT (Read: Abstract). Read further discloses wherein the mediation system is operable to relay a packet received at a receiver port opened beforehand by the control server (Read: [0127] and Figure 2. A proxy interface agent receives control signals from an external server to open and/or close UDP sockets behind, or within the firewall. Following security protocols, the agent will then relay the packet.), indicating an identifier of the receiver port (Read: [0129]. The agent forms an association between the external terminal and its own end with regards to the IP address and port), an IP address and number of a sending port and the received packet (Read: [0128]. The agent forms a TCP connection to the address and port of the external server to relay the packet.).

Art Unit: 2474

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply further control methods of an external server in the public network and to relay specific information regarding addresses and ports of both ends of the communication system as taught by Read to the teachings of Christie and Bendinelli. This benefits the method by further increasing the efficiency of the registration, configuration, and security of the channel connections (Read: [0127-0129]).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to BENJAMIN ELLIOTT whose telephone number is (571)270-7163. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday, 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Aung Moe can be reached on (571)272-7314. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/586,504 Page 10

Art Unit: 2474

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Aung S. Moe/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2474 BENJAMIN ELLIOTT Examiner Art Unit 2474